

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,656	06/13/2002	Carsten Woerner	1999/G-020	2826
7590 08/29/2006			EXAMINER	
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz P O Box 2207			YOON, TAE H	
Wilmington, Di	E 19899-2207	9899-2207		PAPER NUMBER
-			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/088,656	WOERNER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tae H. Yoon	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any (	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is is a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this communication.  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 August 2006</u>.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b)  This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 11-14 and 17-27 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 11-14 and 17-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction on the orath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Contents of the oath or declaration is objected to be the oath or declaration is objected to be objected	n from consideration.  election requirement.  pted or b) □ objected to by the discount of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.  on is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ■ All b) ■ Some * c) ■ None of:  1. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ■ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ■ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date		nary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/088,656

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-14 and 17-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kurz et al (US 6,489,388) in view of Sakurai et al (US 4,526,921).

The examiner interprets that the D component of claim 11 comprises melamine in view of the Decision by BPAI and applicant's amendment.

Kurz et al teach a molding composition comprising a polyoxymethylene homopolymer or copolymer, a polyalkylene glycol, zinc oxide in abstract, table 1 and claims 1-9. Kurz et al further teach employing stabilizers against the effect of heat at col. 2, lines 38-53.

The instant invention further recites melamine over Kurz et al. However, said melamine is one of art well known heat stabilizers for polyoxymethylene (polyacetal) as taught by Sakurai et al, col. 5, lines 20-49, col. 6, line 6 and tables 3, 4 and 7.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to utilize the art well known heat stabilizer for polyoxymethylene (polyacetal), melamine, taught by Sakurai et al in Kurz et al since Kurz et al teach employing stabilizers absent showing otherwise.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tae H Yoon

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/August 18, 2006